

David Lewis KC

SILK: 2014 | CALL: 1999 (ENGLAND AND WALES); 2017 (BRITISH VIRGIN ISLANDS)

enquiries@twentyessex.com

** +44 (0)20 7842 1200

Languages: French (proficient)



Overview

David is a specialist advocate who took silk in 2014 at the age of 36. He has been described as a "meticulous and formidable silk who can cut through complex cases".

He is experienced in a wide range of general commercial and private international law disputes, with an emphasis on energy and natural resources (including renewables), shipping and commodities, civil fraud and the conflict of laws. He also has a particular interest in crypto disputes.

David is renowned for his strategic instincts as to how judges and arbitrators think, his incisive intellect and his responsiveness. He is frequently involved in complex, high value, multi-jurisdictional disputes either leading a large team or working as co-counsel with foreign lawyers. He also has considerable experience of injunctive relief, in particular anti-suit and freezing injunctions.

A substantial amount of his work is in international arbitration. David has acted as lead counsel in arbitration hearings in London, Singapore (where he was based permanently from 2009 to 2010), Paris, Dubai and Hong Kong. He is regularly instructed on challenges to arbitration awards and disputes relating to their enforcement. He was nominated for 'International Arbitration Silk of the Year 2019' by *The Legal 500*.

In addition to appearances at all levels of the High Court (including the appellate courts), he is called to the Bar of the British Virgin Islands (BVI), registered to appear in the Singapore International Commercial Court (as a foreign lawyer) and in the DIFC Courts and has appeared (ad hoc) before the Courts of the Cayman Islands and

Publications

- 'Cultural Considerations in Advocacy: The UK Perspective', in S Jagusch, P Pinsolle and T Foden (eds), The Guide to Advocacy (4th edn, 2019).
- 'Will London-Seated Arbitration Follow
 The English Courts' Approach To Witness
 Statements?' Kluwer Arbitration Blog (8
 June 2021) with Andrew Dinsmore

Professional memberships

- Arbitration Ireland
- Centre for Effective Dispute Resolution (CEDR)
- Commercial Bar Association
- Dubai International Financial Centre: Registered Practitioner
- FCIArb
- Fraud Lawyers Association
- ICC
- LCIA
- LMAA: Supporting Member
- Scottish Arbitration Centre
- Singapore Chamber of Maritime Arbitration: Supporting Member

Gibraltar.

He is a Fellow of the Chartered Institute of Arbitrators, accepts appointments as arbitrator, and has acted as arbitrator under various institutional rules and ad hoc. He also gives expert evidence on English law in foreign Court proceedings.

Education

 University of Oxford, St Edmund Hall: BA in Jurisprudence, First Class (1998)

- Singapore Institute of Arbitrators
- Singapore International Commercial Court: Registered Foreign Lawyer
- Singapore Chamber of Maritime Arbitration: Panel Arbitrator

Lectures/talks

- Terminate in haste, repent at leisure: recent developments in the law of termination.
- Direct rights of action and anti-suit injunctions.
- Recent developments in arbitration law.
- JOA disputes key legal issues.
- Illegality and fraud effects on contract and arbitration proceedings.

Example cases

- Soleymani v Nifty Gateway LLC [2022] EWCA Civ 1297, Court of Appeal: acted for crypto platform challenging jurisdiction
 and seeking to uphold stay of putative consumer's English Court proceedings in favour of New York arbitration arising out
 of high-value NFT purchase.
- Marex Financial Ltd v Carlos Sevilleja Garcia [2020] UKSC 31: leading Supreme Court case revisiting the rule against
 reflective loss and asking whether it barred tortious claims by unsecured creditors for alleged dissipation of company
 assets, following adverse judgment in relation to FOREX trading.
- ED&F Man Capital Markets Ltd v Straits (Singapore) Pte Ltd [2019] EWCA Civ. 2073: acted for defendant to US\$284 million multi-party fraud claim in jurisdictional dispute as to the importance of avoiding multiplicity of proceedings and the risk of irreconcilable judgments.
- Eastern European Engineering Ltd v Vijay Construction (Proprietary) Ltd [2018] BLR 555, Commercial Court: freezing order enforcement in England of ICC Paris arbitration award whether order should cover worldwide assets or only those within jurisdiction.
- Uttam Galva v Gunvor Singapore Pte Ltd [2018] 2 Lloyd's Rep 152: acted for respondent successfully resisting jurisdiction challenge to London Metal Exchange arbitration award arising out of bills of exchange used to pay for nickel.
- Eli Lilly & Co v Genentech Inc [2018] 1 WLR 1755: acted for US patentee challenging jurisdiction of the English courts to determine declarations of non-infringement in relation to the patent designations of other EU member states.
- Xstrata Coal Queensland Pty Ltd v Benxi Iron & Steel (Group) International [2017] 1 All ER (Comm) 299: acted for LMAA award creditor successfully reopening arbitration proceedings relating to coal sale contract after failed enforcement in China.
- Emerald Bay Ltd and others v Bwin.Party Digital Entertainment Ltd Civil Appeal no 7 of 2016, Gibraltar Court of Appeal: acted for respondent successfully resisting appeal against anti-suit injunction restraining New Jersey proceedings alleging fraudulent misrepesentations inducing sale of shares in an online gaming company.
- English Electric Company v Alstom UK Ltd [2016] EWCA Civ 1314: acted for respondent successfully resisting appeal against judgment determining corporate liability for historical exposure of industrial manufacturing employees to asbestos.
- SARPD Oil International Ltd v Addax Energy SA [2016] 1 CLC 336: acted for successful appellant challenging refusal to grant security for costs against BVI-incorporated claimant company refusing to disclose financial information.
- Shipowners' Mutual Protection and Indemnity Association (Luxembourg) v Containerships Denizcilik Nakliyat ve Ticaret AS [2016] 1 Lloyd's Rep 641: acted for appellant challenging anti-suit injunction restraining Turkish proceedings against an insurer brought under a direct action statute.
- S v A & B [2016] 1 Lloyd's Rep 605: acted for appellant challenging ad hoc arbitration award arising out of coal sale contract, on grounds of serious irregularity.

Arbitration

- H1 & H2 v W & Ors [2024] EWHC 382 (Comm), Commercial Court: application under s. 24 Arbitration Act 1996 to remove arbitrator for apparent bias film production insurance arbitration whether comments about expert evidence by sole arbitrator at PTR gave rise to appearance of bias.
- UK P&I Club NV v Venezuela [2023] EWCA Civ 1497, Court of Appeal: anti-suit injunctive relief in support of London arbitration against the State of Venezuela arising out of a collision leading to the sinking of a Venezuelan patrol ship whether Venezuela could rely on State Immunity.
- Soleymani v Nifty Gateway LLC [2022] EWCA Civ 1297, Court of Appeal: acted for crypto platform challenging jurisdiction and seeking to uphold stay of putative consumer's English Court proceedings in favour of New York arbitration arising out of high-value NFT purchase.
- Times Trading Corp v National Bank of Fujairah [2020] EWHC 1983 (Comm.), Commercial Court: application for extension of contractual time-bar under s. 12 of the Arbitration Act 1996 attribution of conduct to respondent making reliance on time-bar unjust discretion and delay.
- Times Trading Corp v National Bank of Fujairah [2020] 2 Lloyd's Rep. 317, Commercial Court: anti-suit injunction in support of arbitration relevance of expiry of time-bar in contractual forum conditional anti-suit relief.
- Xstrata Coal Queensland Pty Ltd v Benxi Iron & Steel (Group) International [2020] 1 Lloyd's Rep. 436, Commercial Court: LCIA Arbitration Award relating to coal sale contract failed enforcement successful application under s. 68 of the Arbitration Act 1996 to remit award after ten years for procedural irregularity.
- Eastern European Engineering Ltd v Vijay Construction (Proprietary) Ltd [2018] BLR 555, Commercial Court: freezing order enforcement in England of ICC Paris arbitration award whether order should cover worldwide assets or only those within jurisdiction.
- Xstrata Coal Queensland Pty Ltd v Benxi Iron & Steel (Group) International [2017] 1 All E.R. (Comm.) 299, Commercial Court: LCIA Arbitration Award relating to coal sale contract failed enforcement six-year extension of time under s. 79 of the Arbitration Act 1996 to correct ambiguity.
- Shipowners' Mutual Protection and Indemnity Association (Luxembourg) v Containerships Denizcilik Nakliyat ve Ticaret AS, [2016] 1 Lloyd's Rep. 641, Court of Appeal: anti-suit injunction in support of arbitration third party direct action "pay to be paid rule" comity.
- S v A & B [2016] 1 Lloyd's Rep. 605, Commercial Court: extension of time to challenge ad hoc coal sale contract arbitration award serious irregularity fitness for purpose.
- Parbulk II A/S v Heritage Maritime Ltd SA (The "Mahakam") [2012] 1 Lloyd's Rep. 87, Commercial Court: S. 69 appeal against arbitration award Bareboat Charterparty whether demands for future hire amounted to waiver of failure to pay hire instalment or to waiver of more general repudiatory breach upholding an arbitration award on a point of law decided against Respondents to a s. 69 appeal justifying a termination notice retrospectively on grounds not specifically identified in notice.
- Sovarex v Romero [2011] 2 Lloyds' Rep. 320, Commercial Court: enforcement of arbitration award relating to sale of sunflower seeds – proper procedure under s. 66 of the Arbitration Act 1996 – question of whether award debtor had participated in arbitral proceedings relating to jurisdiction – effect under Brussels Regulation of competing Spanish proceedings relating to validity of contract.
- The Saldanha [2011] Lloyd's Rep. 187, Commercial Court: seizure by pirates whether vessel off-hire appeal against arbitration award.
- Inta Navigation Ltd v Ranch Investments Ltd [2009] 1 C.L.C. 887, Commercial Court: sale of ship right of first refusal appeal against arbitration award MOA conferring right of first refusal on buyers to purchase second newbuild vessel whether resulting contract on Norwegian Saleform terms or on novation terms.
- Sanhe Hope Full v Toepfer International [2008] 1 Lloyds Rep. 458, Commercial Court: sale of goods contract for sale of Brazilian soybeans – buyers in repudiatory breach – measure of damages – appeal from FOSFA Appeal Arbitration Award – FOSFA 22 clause 27 – whether sellers sustained any loss.
- Bernuth Lines Ltd v High Seas Shipping Ltd [2006] 1 Lloyd's Rep. 537, Commercial Court: arbitration application initiation and conduct of arbitration exclusively by email whether arbitration validly commenced and conducted.

Banking and financial services

- Marex Financial Ltd v Carlos Sevilleja Garcia [2020] UKSC 31, Supreme Court: leading case revisiting the rule against
 reflective loss and asking whether it barred tortious claims by unsecured creditors for alleged dissipation of company
 assets, following adverse judgment in relation to FOREX trading.
- CIMC Raffles Offshore (Singapore) Ltd and Anr v Schahin Holding SA [2013] 2 Lloyd's Rep. 575, Court of Appeal:

guarantee for sums due under shipbuilding contract on delivery of drilling rigs – subsequent variation in shipbuilding contracts – whether guarantee discharged – whether subsequent variation within the "general purview" of the guarantee so that anti-discharge provisions could operate.

- BNP Paribas SA v M. Zaman, Commercial Court: Claims in relation to Forex Trading and discretionary share trading: parallel proceedings in Sultanate of Oman non-exclusive jurisdiction clause anti-suit injunction.
- Credit Agricole Indosuez v Enron Capital & Trade Resources International Corp, Commercial Court Trade Finance
 Facility: effect of stay due to reorganization of Enron under Chapter 11 of the US Bankruptcy Code on English
 proceedings.

Civil fraud and asset tracing

- ED&F Man Capital Markets Ltd v Come Harvest Holdings Ltd [2022] EWCA Civ 1704, Court of Appeal: unlawful means conspiracy measure of damages res inter alios acta principle relevance of claimant settlement with third party.
- ED&F Man Capital Markets Ltd v Come Harvest Holdings Ltd [2022] EWHC 229 (Comm), Commercial Court: acted for tenth defendant to US\$270 million+ claims for multi-party unlawful means conspiracy and knowing receipt arising out of forgery of nickel warehouse receipts.
- Marex Financial Ltd v Carlos Sevilleja Garcia [2020] UKSC 31, Supreme Court: leading case revisiting the rule against reflective loss and asking whether it barred tortious claims by unsecured creditors for alleged dissipation of company assets, following adverse judgment in relation to FOREX trading.
- ED&F Man Capital Markets Ltd v Straits (Singapore) Pte Ltd [2019] EWCA Civ. 2073, Court of Appeal: US\$284 million multi-party fraud claim jurisdiction the importance of avoiding multiplicity of proceedings and the risk of irreconcilable judgments.
- Emerald Bay Ltd & Ors v Bwin.Party Digital Entertainment Ltd, Civil Appeal No. 7 of 2016, Gibraltar Court of Appeal: alleged fraudulent misrepesentations inducing share sales anti-suit injunction Article 25 of the Brussels Regulation Recast New Jersey online gaming market.
- Zabihi v Janzemini [2009] EWCA Civ 851, Court of Appeal conversion of valuable jewellery: dishonest evidence measure of damages sufficiency of evidence the rule in Armorie v Delamirie (1721) 1 Strange 505.
- Newsat Holdings Ltd & Ors v Zani [2006] 1 Lloyd's Rep. 707, Commercial Court: alleged fraudulent misrepresentations relating to satellite rights permission to serve out of the jurisdiction under CPR 6.20(8) worldwide freezing order.
- Hopkins v TL Dallas Ltd and Anor- [2005] 1 B.C.L.C. 543, Chancery Division: alleged guarantees executed by director dismissed for dishonesty whether guarantees tainted by dishonesty assignment of guarantees to third party by liquidator.
- Abdulrazaq v Modena Sportswagen HAndels GmbH [2003] All ER (D) 298 (Feb), High Court: disputed claims over ownership of various Ferraris order for delivery up.

Energy and infrastructure

- BP Oil Ltd v Glencore Energy UK Ltd [2022] EWHC 499 (Comm), Commercial Court: acted for defendant to claim for damages for breach of contract in supplying Russian Export Blend Crude Oil contaminated with organic chlorides.
- Xstrata Coal Queensland Pty Ltd v Benxi Iron & Steel (Group) International [2020] 1 Lloyd's Rep. 436, Commercial Court: LCIA Arbitration Award relating to coal sale contract failed enforcement successful application under s. 68 of the Arbitration Act 1996 to remit award after ten years for procedural irregularity.
- Xstrata Coal Queensland Pty Ltd v Benxi Iron & Steel (Group) International [2017] 1 All E.R. (Comm.) 299, Commercial Court LCIA Arbitration Award relating to coal sale contract failed enforcement six-year extension of time under s. 79 of the Arbitration Act 1996 to correct ambiguity.
- SARPD Oil International Limited v Addax Energy SA [2016] 1 CLC 336, Court of Appeal: security for costs against BVI claimant refusing to disclose financial information.
- English Electric Company v Alstom UK Ltd [2016] EWCA Civ 1314, Court of Appeal: corporate liability for historical exposure of industrial manufacturing employees to asbestos.
- S v A & B [2016] 1 Lloyd's Rep. 605, Commercial Court: extension of time to challenge ad hoc coal sale contract arbitration award serious irregularity fitness for purpose.
- Choil Trading SA v Addax Trading SA (2009) 106 (39) L.S.G. 22, Commercial Court: Joint venture arrangement for supply of oil individual purchases of oil jurisdiction under Article 17 of the Lugano Convention whether jurisdiction agreements for individual purchases applicable to joint venture arrangement.
- Contigroup Companies Inc v Glencore AG [2005] 1 Lloyd's Rep. 241, Commercial Court: damages for delay in delivery

under contract for the sale of goods – available market for liquefied petroleum gas in South- East China – reasonableness of settlement of claims by Chinese receivers.

Jurisdiction, conflicts & enforcement

- Soleymani v Nifty Gateway LLC [2022] EWCA Civ 1297, Court of Appeal: acted for crypto platform challenging jurisdiction
 and seeking to uphold stay of putative consumer's English Court proceedings in favour of New York arbitration arising out
 of high-value NFT purchase.
- ED&F Man Capital Markets Ltd v Straits (Singapore) Pte Ltd [2019] EWCA Civ. 2073, Court of Appeal: US\$284 million multi-party fraud claim jurisdiction the importance of avoiding multiplicity of proceedings and the risk of irreconcilable judgments.
- Eastern European Engineering Ltd v Vijay Construction (Proprietary) Ltd [2018] BLR 555, Commercial Court: freezing
 order enforcement in England of ICC Paris arbitration award whether order should cover worldwide assets or only
 those within jurisdiction.
- Marex Financial Ltd v Carlos Sevilleja Garcia [2017] EWHC 918 (Comm.), Commercial Court: alleged dissipation of
 company assets freezing order limits of the tort jurisdictional gateway for service out scope of the Lumley v Gye tort
 and the tort of causing loss by unlawful means rule against reflective loss.
- Emerald Bay Ltd & Ors v Bwin.Party Digital Entertainment Ltd, Civil Appeal No. 7 of 2016, Gibraltar Court of Appeal: alleged fraudulent misrepresentations inducing share sales anti-suit injunction Article 25 of the Brussels Regulation Recast New Jersey online gaming market.
- Shipowners' Mutual Protection and Indemnity Association (Luxembourg) v Containerships Denizcilik Nakliyat ve Ticaret AS [2016] 1 Lloyd's Rep. 641, Court of Appeal: anti-suit injunction in support of arbitration third party direct action "pay to be paid rule" comity.
- Sovarex v Romero [2011] 2 Lloyd's Rep. 320, Commercial Court: enforcement of arbitration award relating to sale of sunflower seeds – proper procedure under s. 66 of the Arbitration Act 1996 – question of whether award debtor had participated in arbitral proceedings relating to jurisdiction – effect under Brussels Regulation of competing Spanish proceedings relating to validity of contract.
- FR Lurssen Werft GmbH v Halle [2011] 1 Lloyd's Rep. 265, Court of Appeal, [2010] 2 Lloyd's Rep. 20, Commercial Court: shipbuilding commission on sale of megayacht governing law of commission agreement Contracts (Applicable Law) Act 1990- Article 3(1) of the Rome Convention forum non conveniens.
- Choil Trading SA v Addax Trading SA(2009) 106(39) L.S.G. 22, Commercial Court joint venture arrangement for supply
 of oil individual purchases of oil jurisdiction under Article 17 of the Lugano Convention whether jurisdiction
 agreements for individual purchases applicable to joint venture arrangement.
- Galaxy Special Maritime Enterprise v Prima Ceylon Ltd [2006] 2 Lloyd's Law Rep. 27, Court of Appeal grounding of
 vessel in Sri Lanka parallel proceedings in England and Sri Lanka claim under Lloyd's Average Bond for contributions
 to general average and salvage -appropriate forum.
- Newsat Holdings Ltd & Ors v Zani [2006] 1 Lloyd's Rep. 707, Commercial Court alleged fraudulent misrepresentations relating to satellite rights permission to serve out of the jurisdiction under CPR 6.20(8) worldwide freezing order.
- Ace Insurance SA-NV (formerly Cigna) v Zurich Insurance Company
 – appeal to the House of Lords Brussels and Lugano
 Conventions s. 49 of the CJJA 1982 compatibility of the discretionary power of forum non conveniens with the
 Conventions.
- Latchin t/a Dinkha Latchin Associates v General Mediterranean Holdings SA [2002] C.L.C. 330, Commercial Court challenge to jurisdiction Claimant bringing action against Luxembourg registered defendant, alleging domiciled in United Kingdom Brussels Convention, Articles 2, 5(5) and 6(1) forum non conveniens.
- National Justice Compania Naviera S.A. v Prudential Assurance Company Limited ("the Ikarian Reefer"), Queen's Bench order for examination on and production of books and assets under RSC O. 48.1 Brussels Convention, enforcement regime, Articles 16(5), 24.

Shipping

- UK P&I Club NV v Venezuela [2023] EWCA Civ 1497, Court of Appeal: anti-suit injunctive relief in support of London
 arbitration against the State of Venezuela arising out of a collision leading to the sinking of a Venezuelan patrol ship –
 whether Venezuela could rely on State Immunity.
- Times Trading Corp v National Bank of Fujairah [2020] EWHC 1983 (Comm.), Commercial Court: application for extension of contractual time-bar under s. 12 of the Arbitration Act 1996 attribution of conduct to respondent making reliance on time-bar unjust discretion and delay.

- Times Trading Corp v National Bank of Fujairah [2020] 2 Lloyd's Rep. 317, Commercial Court: anti-suit injunction in support of arbitration relevance of expiry of time-bar in contractual forum conditional anti-suit relief.
- Shipowners' Mutual Protection and Indemnity Association (Luxembourg) v Containerships Denizcilik Nakliyat ve Ticaret AS, [2016] 1 Lloyd's Rep. 641, Court of Appeal: anti-suit injunction in support of arbitration third party direct action "pay to be paid rule" comity.
- CIMC Raffles Offshore (Singapore) Ltd and Anr v Schahin Holding SA [2013] 2 Lloyd's Rep. 575, Court of Appeal: guarantee for sums due under shipbuilding contract on delivery of drilling rigs subsequent variation in shipbuilding contracts whether guarantee discharged whether subsequent variation within the "general purview" of the guarantee so that anti-discharge provisions could operate.
- Yilport Konteyner Terminali Ve Liman Isletmeleri AS v Buxcliff AG and ors [2013] 1 Lloyd's Law Rep. 378, Commercial Court: container vessel damaged in collision at sea port operator agreeing with shipowners to discharge damaged containers dispute as to the charges which operator was entitled to levy and whether operator entitled to charge uplifted rates whether charges challengeable only on grounds of Wednesbury unreasonableness degree of vouching necessary.
- Parbulk II A/S v Heritage Maritime Ltd SA (The "Mahakam") [2012] 1 Lloyd's Rep. 87, Commercial Court: S. 69 appeal against arbitration award Bareboat Charterparty whether demands for future hire amounted to waiver of failure to pay hire instalment or to waiver of more general repudiatory breach upholding an arbitration award on a point of law decided against Respondents to a s. 69 appeal justifying a termination notice retrospectively on grounds not specifically identified in notice.
- Glory Wealth Shipping v Korea Line Corporation (The Wren) [2011] 2 Lloyd's Rep. 370, Commercial Court: time charterparty damages for wrongful repudiation by charterers lack of available market at time of repudiation effect of revival of available market scope of the principle in *The Elena D'Amico*.
- The Saldanha [2011] Lloyd's Rep. 187, Commercial Court: seizure by pirates whether vessel off-hire appeal against arbitration award.
- FR Lurssen Werft GmbH v Halle [2011] 1 Lloyd's Rep. 265, Court of Appeal, [2010] 2 Lloyd's Rep. 20, Commercial Court: shipbuilding commission on sale of megayacht governing law of commission agreement Contracts (Applicable Law) Act 1990- Article 3(1) of the Rome Convention forum non conveniens.
- During 2010, David Lewis was a consultant to the Singapore Maritime Foundation on the drafting of the new Singapore Sale Form for the sale of second-hand tonnage.
- Inta Navigation Ltd v Ranch Investments Ltd [2009] 1 C.L.C. 887, Commercial Court: sale of ship sight of first refusal appeal against arbitration award MOA conferring right of first refusal on buyers to purchase second newbuild vessel whether resulting contract on Norwegian Saleform terms or on novation terms.
- The Newforest [2008] 1 Lloyd's Rep. 504, Commercial Court -Charterparty (Voyage) demurrage charterparty providing for demurrage to be calculated on basis of statement of facts statement of facts referring to bad weather owners subsequently seeking to assert that delays not caused by bad weather status of statement of facts whether charterers liable for demurrage.
- Galaxy Special Maritime Enterprise v Prima Ceylon Ltd [2006] 2 Lloyd's Law Rep. 27, Court of Appeal: grounding of vessel in Sri Lanka parallel proceedings in England and Sri Lanka claim under Lloyd's Average Bond for contributions to general average and salvage appropriate forum.
- Bernuth Lines Ltd v High Seas Shipping Ltd [2006] 1 Lloyd's Rep. 537, Commercial Court arbitration application initiation and conduct of arbitration exclusively by email whether arbitration validly commenced and conducted.
- The Laemthong Glory (No. 2) [2005] 1 Lloyd's Rep. 632, Commercial Court Contracts (Rights of Third Parties) Act, 1999 charterers entitled to require vessel to discharge cargo against letter of indemnity receivers' letter of indemnity addressed to charterers and not shipowners whether shipowners entitled to enforce receivers' letter of indemnity against receivers under 1999 Act whether shipowners entitled to specific performance.

Recommendations

David is an extremely astute tactician with an encyclopedic knowledge of the law. He's always spot-on with the strategic decisions. Chambers UK Bar 2025

His ability to calmly take control of a courtroom, in even the most demanding of circumstances, is almost unparalleled. His advice, both legal and strategic, is always incredibly well considered. Chambers UK Bar 2025

He is super-bright, very easy to work with and someone who gets on well with solicitor teams. Chambers UK Bar 2025

Brilliant strategist - he is able to find solutions where others see nothing. He is great with clients and the judges are always engaged by his focussed and persuasive submissions. The Legal 500 UK Bar 2025

A top-level KC for commodities disputes, he is very personable and excellent with clients, and has first class analytical skills. The Legal 500 UK Bar 2025

David is incisive and has an eagle-eye commercial strategy. His cross-examination style is concise and pointed, and he can be counted on to work very quickly and effectively. The Legal 500 UK Bar 2025

Very highly regarded by solicitors, David is encyclopaedically brilliant, authoritative and yet polite, and meticulously prepared. The Legal 500 UK Bar 2024

David is incredibly thorough and meticulously prepared for every conference and every hearing. He has deep expertise in international trade matters. A team player who interacts wonderfully with lay and professional clients. The Legal 500 UK Bar 2024

David is incredibly bright, calm and collected on his feet, with razor-sharp attention to detail. The Legal 500 UK Bar 2024

David has an outstanding intellect and is always available, courteous and excellent with clients. The Legal 500 UK Bar 2024

David Lewis is someone you take very seriously if you see him on the other side. Chambers UK Bar 2024

He's one of the best examples of the modern legal brain. He's super responsive, provides just the right level of analysis, and is crisp and clear. Chambers UK Bar 2024

David makes himself familiar with the case and available for all work that needs to be done. A barrister who never sacrifices quality, he is fantastic at providing advice in a clear and succinct manner, even when the issues in the case are complicated. Chambers UK Bar 2024

David Lewis does exceptionally well in technically challenging cases. Chambers UK Bar 2024

A go-to counsel for the most complex disputes, he is sharp and commercially minded, approachable and accommodating to the needs of even of the most demanding clients. Chambers UK Bar 2024

Massively able and unflappable. Very measured advocacy. The Legal 500 UK Bar 2024

Brilliant at structuring arguments in a methodical way. Chambers UK Bar 2024

He's extremely diligent and gets completely immersed in the detail. Chambers UK Bar 2024

He is an exceptionally user-friendly KC who is very responsive, and he cares about the outcome. Chambers UK Bar 2024

David is very good, very bright, easy to work with and a go-to for a lot of work in this space. Chambers UK Bar 2024

He is very clear in his advice and has the ability to take something very complex and break it down to make it understandable. Chambers UK Bar 2024

David Lewis is very user-friendly, solid and technically smart. Chambers Asia-Pacific 2024

His preparation is second to none and it inspires a lot of confidence in the client. Chambers Asia-Pacific 2024

David is an excellent silk with impressive advocacy skills. Someone to go to for sophisticated advice on complex points Chambers UK Bar 2023

Simply fantastic - superb on jurisdiction. The Legal UK Bar 2023

Extremely clever and efficient, he is not phased by large amounts of material The Legal UK Bar 2023

He has the intellectual skill, he's a very good advocate and a penetrating cross-examiner. Chambers UK Bar 2023

An accessible and very user-friendly silk who rolls up his sleeves and fights the client's corner from the outset, he is superbly well-prepared, robust and persuasive. The Legal UK Bar 2023

David is user-friendly, incredibly intelligent and willing to take on board commercial concerns and arguments. Chambers UK Bar 2023

Superbly well-prepared and assiduous, he does not miss a point and identifies ones that nobody else has thought of. The Legal UK Bar 2023

Great on his feet, strong and persuasive but with an easy manner that appeals to tribunals. The Legal UK Bar 2023

David is able to dissect complicated issues and advise in a clear and concise manner. He is equally effective at communicating with tribunal judges as well. The Legal 500 Asia Pacific 2023: The English Bar

Strategically pitch-perfect. He is very good with clients and a very good advocate. Chambers UK Bar 2022

He is unperturbable on his feet and a go-to barrister for all shipping and commodities disputes. The Legal 500 Asia Pacific

2022: The English Bar

He is extremely user-friendly and will roll his sleeves up and get stuck into a case - you really feel like he is truly part of your team when you work with him. He is also able to deal with vast number of documents and get to grips with issues very quickly. Chambers UK Bar 2022

Extremely bright and he has very strong analytical skills. He is unerringly pleasant to work with, always willing to muck-in and be part of a legal team. His advocacy is first-rate. The Legal UK Bar 2022

He is a meticulous and formidable silk who can cut through complex cases. Chambers UK Bar 2022

He knows the area inside out, is extremely user-friendly and brings with him strategic brilliance and a formidable intellect. The Legal UK Bar 2022

As well as being very bright, he is a fantastic team player and a go-to silk for top energy clients. The Legal UK Bar 2022

It is always a pleasure to work with David. He is extraordinarily bright, has an extensive knowledge of shipping law and wider commercial law, and is someone you want in your team on big cases. The Legal UK Bar 2022

Absolutely superb. He thinks about things in a careful way and presents them in a very attractive and succinct manner. Chambers UK Bar 2021

extremely intelligent, fantastic oral and written advocate who conveys complex points succinctly and clearly Chambers UK Bar 2021

A very deft and clever advocate in complex, multi-jurisdictional disputes. The Legal 500 UK Bar 2021

An excellent silk - he is hugely intelligent and can read into complex matters and produce top quality notes of advice, pleadings and other documents with incredible speed. The Legal 500 UK Bar 2021

He is an excellent advocate, hardworking and destined for the top. The Legal 500 UK Bar 2020

He is not destabilised by aggressive opposite numbers or by questionable tactics. The Legal 500 Asia Pacific 2020: The English Bar

Efficient, very intelligent, quick at turning things around, very pleasant and good to deal with. His written work is clear, succinct and effective. Chambers UK Bar 2020

A leader in his field who is destined for the top; he has an encyclopaedic knowledge of case law and exceptional advocacy skills. The Legal 500 UK Bar 2020

His attention to detail is astonishing and his written submissions in particular are some of the best I have ever seen at his level. Chambers UK Bar 2020

He has a down-to-earth approach to advocacy and is very respectful to all concerned, but still lands the body blows. Chambers UK Bar 2020

He engages with everything, pays good attention to detail and will fight for his clients. Chambers UK Bar 2020

He's a very good, very elegant advocate. Chambers UK Bar 2020

Very effective and incisive. He is hard-working, intellectually gifted and a pleasure to work with. Chambers UK Bar 2019

A lethal advocate with copious amounts of charm and the ability to disarm the most hostile judges. Chambers UK 2018

An excellent advocate. He's able to cut through all the things that aren't relevant to find the right solution. He's approachable, practical and efficient. Chambers UK Bar 2018

Measured and magisterial, he is very bright, responsive and committed; he should go very far. The Legal 500 UK Bar 2018

He is simply exceptional. The Legal 500 UK Bar 2018