

Richard Greenberg

CALL: 2013

✉ rgreenberg@twentyessex.com;
yellowteam@twentyessex.com

☎ +44 (0)20 7842 1200



Overview

Richard's practice focuses on commercial disputes both in court and arbitration. He has particular expertise in civil fraud and asset tracing, banking and finance, shipping and international trade, international arbitration and private international law.

He is ranked as a leading junior in civil fraud, commercial litigation, shipping and commodities. Client comments include:

- *"Richard demonstrates incredible commitment and unwavering professionalism, as well as outstanding technical ability. A pleasure to work with"* (Legal 500 2025)
- *"Richard is a stellar junior who knows fraud litigation inside out. He is a formidable advocate who is unafraid of taking on silks"* (Legal 500 2025)
- *"His advocacy skills belie his year of call, and his cross-examination technique is better than many silks"* (Legal 500 2025)

Richard has appeared in the Supreme Court, Court of Appeal and High Court. He has considerable experience of being led in complex, high-value litigation and arbitration, but also regularly appears as sole counsel. The majority of his work has a strong

Publications

- 'The application of paragraph 72 of Schedule B1 to the Insolvency Act 1986: the importance of having possession... of the facts, the evidence and the relevant property' (2015) 36(6) *The Company Lawyer* 184 (co-author).

Professional memberships

- Commercial Bar Association
- London Common Law and Commercial Bar Association
- Insolvency Lawyers' Association

Lectures / talks

- 'Mirror, mirror: reflections on reflective loss': with David Lewis QC (May 2021)
- 'Marex v Sevilleja in the Supreme Court: new problems and new solutions': with Philip Jones and David Lewis QC (July 2020)
- 'Terminate in haste, repent at leisure: recent developments in the law of termination of contracts': with David Lewis QC at several venues (May 2018)

international element and he has acted for clients all around the world. Many of his cases have involved conflict of laws issues and jurisdictional disputes, as well as other interim applications, including freezing injunctions, proprietary injunctions and anti-suit injunctions.

Richard is regularly instructed in complex civil fraud disputes. His current and recent cases include a number of the most high-value/high-profile cases in this area: *Al Sadeq v Dechert & Ors*; *Trafigura Pte Ltd v Gupta*; *Crypto Open Patent Alliance v Dr Craig Steven Wright*; and *PJSC National Bank Trust & Another v Boris Mints & Others*.

He has extensive experience of arbitral proceedings. His experience covers arbitral proceedings under a variety of rules, including ad hoc, institutional (ICC, LCIA and SIAC) and trade arbitrations (LMAA). In addition, he has considerable experience of applications to court in respect of arbitral proceedings and appeals against arbitration awards.

Education

- BPP University Law School: Bar Professional Training Course, Outstanding (2013)
- City University: Graduate Diploma in Law, Commendation (2012)
- University of Oxford, Christ Church: Master of Studies, Distinction (2010)
- University of Cambridge, Pembroke College: BA (Hons), Double First (2009)

Selected prizes / scholarships

- Lord Mansfield Scholarship, Lincoln's Inn (2012)
- Lord Haldane Scholarship, Lincoln's Inn (2011)
- Collins Prize for English, Pembroke College (2009)
- Foundation Scholarship, Pembroke College (2007-09)

Example cases

- *Al Sadeq v Dechert & Ors*: acting for a solicitor formerly employed as an associate by Dechert against claims arising out of alleged torture carried out during an investigation into a fraud in Ras Al Khaimah (in a counsel team led by Paul Lowenstein KC).
- *Trafigura v Gupta & Ors*: acting for the claimants in a claim arising out of an alleged \$600m nickel trading fraud (led by Nathan Pillow KC and David Peters KC).
- *Crypto Open Patent Alliance ("COPA") v Dr Craig Steven Wright* (listed in The Lawyer's Top 20 cases for 2024): acting for Dr Wright in the high-profile case that will decide whether Dr Wright is the pseudonymous "Satoshi Nakamoto", i.e. the person who created Bitcoin in late 2008/early 2009 (in a counsel team led by Lord Grabiner KC and Craig Orr KC). Judgments include [2023] EWHC 1894 (Ch): joint CMC with several related actions at which Richard appeared unled; [2023] EWHC 2408 (Ch): various applications including permission to adduce expert evidence on autism spectrum disorder; and [2023] EWHC 2642 (Ch): COPA's application to amend to make numerous allegations of forgery.
- *PJSC National Bank Trust & another v Boris Mints & others*: acted for the Fourth Defendant across the litigation, which concerns alleged fraudulent transactions entered into with Russian banks (led by Duncan Matthews KC). Judgments include: [2020] EWHC 204 (Comm) (application to be released from undertakings given in substitution for a worldwide freezing order to the value of US\$572 million); [2021] EWHC 1089 (Comm) (application for the Russian banks to provide additional fortification of their cross-undertakings); [2022] EWHC 871 (Comm) (appearing unled in application by the Russian Banks for summary judgment against the First to Third Defendants - a significant case on attempts to rely on findings in arbitration proceedings against non-parties to arbitration).

- *MV Pacific Pearl Co. Limited v (1) NYK Orpheus Corp (2) Quick Ship Holding S.A.* [2022] EWHC 2828: trial on liability following the collision between m.v. Panamax Alexander and m.v. NYK Orpheus in the Suez Canal (led by Timothy Hill KC). The judgment refers to “Mr Greenberg’s... most effective cross-examination” of the Defendants’ marine engineering expert (para 245).
- *Marex Financial Ltd v Sevilleja* [2020] UKSC 31; [2018] EWCA Civ 1468 (CA); [2017] EWHC 918 (Comm): acted for the respondent, Mr Sevilleja, in the High Court (which acknowledged a new tort of interference with a judgment debt), Court of Appeal and Supreme Court in the landmark case on the reflective loss principle. *Marex* is widely regarded as one of the most significant commercial cases of recent times (led by David Lewis KC).
- ICC Arbitration 2019: acted for a bank in a dispute with beneficiaries under US\$55 million demand bonds issued in relation to a major construction project in the Middle East, which involved issues concerning whether the demands on the bonds were fraudulent (in a counsel team led by Michael Ashcroft KC).
- LMAA Arbitration 2019: acted for the Claimant in respect of complex claims totalling in excess of US\$12 million arising out of alleged breaches of a charterparty and related contracts, and negligent misstatement, against one of the world’s largest shipping companies, and its chairman personally, in connection with a new ferry service (in a counsel team led by Duncan Matthews KC).
- *A v B* [2018] EWHC 2325 (Comm): acted for the charterers of a vessel in a claim brought by the owners challenging an arbitration award under ss 68 and 69 Arbitration Act 1996 (led by Christopher Hancock KC).
- *Vincent Tchenguiz and others v Grant Thornton UK LLP and others*: acted for the claimants in a conspiracy/malicious prosecution claim seeking in excess of US\$2 billion against Grant Thornton and others for allegedly instigating an unjustified major Serious Fraud Office investigation into Mr Tchenguiz, causing very significant business losses. This included applications concerning privilege, inadvertent disclosure and permission to use documents under CPR 31.22 [2017] EWHC 2644 (Comm) (in a counsel team led by Christopher Hancock KC).
- *Shulman v Kolomoisky and Bogolyubov*: acted for the First Defendant in respect of his application under CPR Part 11 challenging the jurisdiction of the English Court (in a counsel team led by Paul Girolami KC and Stephen Atherton KC).
- *XiO GP Ltd v Xie Zhikun*: acted for a Cayman fund seeking to set aside an ex parte injunction on the grounds that the underlying claims (for breach of fiduciary duty and conspiracy) were barred by the rule against reflective loss (in a counsel team led by Stephen Atherton KC).
- *Magellan Spirit ApS v Vitol SA* [2016] EWHC 545 (Comm): acted for the owners of a liquefied natural gas vessel in an application for an anti-suit injunction in respect of a US\$15 million cargo claim brought in Nigeria (led by Timothy Hill KC).

Commercial and private international law

Richard undertakes a broad range of commercial work which often involves jurisdictional and choice of law issues.

Recent examples of work:

- *Marex Financial Ltd v Sevilleja* [2020] UKSC 31; [2018] EWCA Civ 1468 (CA); [2017] EWHC 918 (Comm): acted for the Respondent, Mr Sevilleja, from the High Court (which acknowledged a new tort of interference with a judgment debt) to the Supreme Court in the landmark case on the reflective loss principle, which is widely regarded as one of the most significant commercial cases of recent times (led by David Lewis KC).
- *PJSC National Bank Trust & another v Boris Mints & others*: acted for the Fourth Defendant across the litigation, which concerns alleged fraudulent transactions entered into with Russian banks. Judgments include: [2020] EWHC 204 (Comm) (application to be released from undertakings given in substitution for a worldwide freezing order to the value of US\$572 million); and [2021] EWHC 1089 (Comm) (application for the Russian banks to provide additional fortification of their cross-undertakings) (led by Duncan Matthews KC).
- *Vincent Tchenguiz and others v Grant Thornton UK LLP and others*: acted for the claimants in a conspiracy/malicious prosecution claim seeking in excess of US\$2 billion against Grant Thornton and others for allegedly instigating an unjustified major Serious Fraud Office investigation into Mr Tchenguiz, causing very significant business losses. This included applications concerning privilege, inadvertent disclosure and permission to use documents under CPR 31.22 [2017] EWHC 2644 (Comm) (in a counsel team led by Christopher Hancock KC).
- *Shulman v Kolomoisky and Bogolyubov*: acted for the First Defendant in respect of his application under CPR Part 11 challenging the jurisdiction of the English Court (in a counsel team led by Paul Girolami KC and Stephen Atherton KC).
- *XiO GP Ltd v Xie Zhikun*: acted for a Cayman fund seeking to set aside an ex parte injunction on the grounds that the underlying claims (for breach of fiduciary duty and conspiracy) were barred by the rule against reflective loss (in a counsel team led by Stephen Atherton KC).
- *Magellan Spirit ApS v Vitol SA* [2016] EWHC 454 (Comm): acted for the owners of an LNG vessel in an application for an anti-suit injunction in respect of a US\$15 million cargo claim brought in Nigeria (led by Timothy Hill KC).

- Acted for a Kazakh company in a US\$18m claim for fraudulent misrepresentation in relation to the acquisition of shares in a leading commercial bank in Uzbekistan (led by Blair Leahy KC).
- Acted for a conch farm in a US\$200 million+ arbitration dispute with a Caribbean state for breach of a development agreement and various property claims.

Civil fraud

- *Al Sadeq v Dechert & Ors*: acting for a solicitor formerly employed as an associate by Dechert against claims arising out of alleged torture carried out during an investigation into a fraud in Ras Al Khaimah (in a counsel team led by Paul Lowenstein KC).
- *Trafigura v Gupta & Ors*: acting for the claimants in a claim arising out of an alleged \$600m nickel trading fraud (led by Nathan Pillow KC and David Peters KC).
- *Crypto Open Patent Alliance (“COPA”) v Dr Craig Steven Wright* (listed in The Lawyer’s Top 20 cases for 2024): acting for Dr Wright in the high-profile case that will decide whether Dr Wright is the pseudonymous “Satoshi Nakamoto”, i.e. the person who created Bitcoin in late 2008/early 2009 (in a counsel team led by Lord Grabiner KC and Craig Orr KC). Judgments include [2023] EWHC 1894 (Ch): joint CMC with several related actions at which Richard appeared unled; [2023] EWHC 2408 (Ch): various applications including permission to adduce expert evidence on autism spectrum disorder; and [2023] EWHC 2642 (Ch): COPA’s application to amend to make numerous allegations of forgery.
- *Durnont Enterprises Limited v Fazita Investment Limited & Ors*: acting for the claimant in a derivative claim on behalf of Polish Real Estate Investment Limited (PREI) in respect of a complex international fraud resulting in the expropriation of PREI’s assets (in a counsel team led by Philip Riches KC). Judgments include [2023] EWHC 1294 (Ch): ‘second stage’ permission to continue derivative action.
- *PJSC National Bank Trust & another v Boris Mints & others*: acted for the Fourth Defendant across the litigation, which concerns alleged fraudulent transactions entered into with Russian banks. Judgments include: [2020] EWHC 204 (Comm) (application to be released from undertakings given in substitution for a worldwide freezing order to the value of US\$572 million); and [2021] EWHC 1089 (Comm) (application for the Russian banks to provide additional fortification of their cross-undertakings) (led by Duncan Matthews KC).
- ICC Arbitration 2019: acted for a bank in a dispute with beneficiaries under US\$55 million demand bonds issued in relation to a major construction project in the Middle East, which involved issues concerning whether the demands on the bonds were fraudulent (in a counsel team led by Michael Ashcroft KC).
- LCIA Arbitration: acted for the respondents in disputes concerning a large residential and commercial property development in Moscow, with claims and counterclaims for fraudulent misrepresentation, unlawful interference and unlawful means conspiracy, unlawful procurement of breach of contract, breach of equitable and contractual duties of confidence, dishonest assistance, breach of settlement agreement, and breach of arbitration agreement (with Daniel Bovensiepen).
- *Vincent Tchenguiz and others v Grant Thornton UK LLP and others*: acted for the claimants in a conspiracy/malicious prosecution claim seeking in excess of US\$2 billion against Grant Thornton and others for allegedly instigating an unjustified major Serious Fraud Office investigation into Mr Tchenguiz, causing very significant business losses. This included applications concerning privilege, inadvertent disclosure and permission to use documents under CPR 31.22 [2017] EWHC 2644 (Comm) (in a counsel team led by Christopher Hancock KC).
- *Shulman v Kolomoisky and Bogolyubov*: acted for the First Defendant in respect of his application under CPR Part 11 challenging the jurisdiction of the English Court (in a counsel team led by Paul Girolami KC and Stephen Atherton KC).
- *XiO GP Ltd v Xie Zhikun*: acted for a Cayman fund seeking to set aside an ex parte injunction on the grounds that the underlying claims (for breach of fiduciary duty and conspiracy) were barred by the rule against reflective loss (in a counsel team led by Stephen Atherton KC).
- Acted for a Kazakh company in a US\$18 million claim for fraudulent misrepresentation in relation to the acquisition of shares in a leading commercial bank in Uzbekistan (led by Blair Leahy KC).

Insolvency and company law

Richard’s practice covers the full spectrum of contentious insolvency disputes and company law. Recent examples of work:

- *Marex Financial Ltd v Sevilleja* [2020] UKSC 31; [2018] EWCA Civ 1468 (CA); [2017] EWHC 918 (Comm): acted for the Respondent, Mr Sevilleja, from the High Court (which acknowledged a new tort of interference with a judgment debt) to the Supreme Court in the landmark case on the reflective loss principle, which is widely regarded as one of the most significant commercial cases of recent times (led by David Lewis KC).
- Acted (as sole counsel) for a Seychelles company defending a petition by the Secretary of State for Business, Innovation

and Skills to wind up the company on public interest grounds.

- Assisted Stephen Atherton KC in *Re Business Environment Fleet Street Limited (in Administration)* [2014] EWHC 3540 (Ch), a case in which the Court refused to grant leave to the joint administrators of the company to sell assets located at premises owned by the company under paragraph 72 or, alternatively, paragraphs 67 and 68 of the Insolvency Act 1986.
- Acted for the joint administrators of several property development companies in applications to extend their terms of office.
- Acted for creditors and debtors in winding-up and bankruptcy petitions.
- Acted for the defendants in a claim for possession and sale of a residential property pursuant to an equitable charge.
- Acted for the defendant director of a management consultancy firm in a claim by two former shareholders to rescind trust deeds on the grounds of misrepresentation and undue influence.
- Acted for the claimants in a group litigation claim concerned with breach of fiduciary duties by the directors of an international timeshare exchange company.

Banking and finance

Richard has experience in a wide variety of banking and finance disputes. Recent examples of work:

- LCIA Arbitration: acting for a steel trader in a dispute with a bank concerning (amongst other things) negligent misstatement (led by Philip Edey KC).
- *PJSC National Bank Trust & another v Boris Mints & others*: acted for the Fourth Defendant across the litigation, which concerns alleged fraudulent transactions entered into with Russian banks. Judgments include: [2020] EWHC 204 (Comm) (application to be released from undertakings given in substitution for a worldwide freezing order to the value of US\$572 million); and [2021] EWHC 1089 (Comm) (application for the Russian banks to provide additional fortification of their cross-undertakings) (led by Duncan Matthews KC).
- ICC Arbitration 2019: acted for a bank in a fraud dispute with beneficiaries under US\$55 million demand bonds issued in relation to a major construction project in the Middle East, which involved issues concerning whether the demands on the bonds were fraudulent (in a counsel team led by Michael Ashcroft KC).
- Acted for a Kazakh company in a US\$18m claim for fraudulent misrepresentation in relation to the acquisition of shares in a leading commercial bank in Uzbekistan (led by Blair Leahy KC).
- Acted (as sole counsel) for a foreign exchange broker in a dispute concerning the proper construction of a set-off clause and alleged misrepresentations in risk warning notices.
- Assisting a major city law firm in relation to the FCA review of the misselling of interest rate hedging products.

Arbitration

Richard is regularly instructed in arbitral proceedings. He has experience of proceedings under a variety of institutional rules, including ICC, LCIA, LMAA, GAFTA and SIAC. Recent examples of work:

- LCIA Arbitration: acting for a steel trader in a dispute with a bank concerning (amongst other things) negligent misstatement, which involves numerous jurisdictional issues including an application for an anti-suit injunction from the arbitral tribunal (led by Philip Edey KC).
- Ad hoc arbitration (2021): acted for the respondent charterers in an application under section 41(3) of the Arbitration Act 1996 to dismiss the proceedings for want of prosecution.
- LCIA Arbitration: acted for the respondents in disputes concerning a large residential and commercial property development in Moscow, with claims and counterclaims for fraudulent misrepresentation, unlawful interference and unlawful means conspiracy, unlawful procurement of breach of contract, breach of equitable and contractual duties of confidence, dishonest assistance, breach of settlement agreement, and breach of arbitration agreement (with Daniel Bovensiepen).
- ICC Arbitration 2019: acted for a bank in a fraud dispute with beneficiaries under US\$55 million demand bonds issued in relation to a major construction project in the Middle East, which involved issues concerning whether the demands on the bonds were fraudulent (in a counsel team led by Michael Ashcroft KC).
- LMAA Arbitration 2019: acted for the Claimant in respect of complex claims totalling in excess of US\$12 million arising out of alleged breaches of a charterparty and related contracts, and negligent misstatement, against one of the world's largest shipping companies, and its chairman personally, in connection with a new ferry service (in a counsel team led by Duncan Matthews KC).

- LMAA Arbitration 2017: acted for the charterers of a vessel in a dispute concerning Owners' breach of an oil major eligibility clause (led by Christopher Hancock KC).
- SIAC Arbitration 2016: acted (as sole counsel) for the buyers in a three day commodities arbitration in Singapore arising out of a ban on the import of steel by the Indonesian government.
- LMAA Arbitration 2015: acted for the charterers of a vessel in a US\$60 million arbitration dispute with the owners concerning whether the vessel that was tendered for delivery was properly the subject matter of the charterparty and whether charterers were entitled to terminate the charterparty (in a counsel team led by Michael Ashcroft KC).
- Acted for a conch farm in a US\$200m+ arbitration dispute with a Caribbean state for breach of a development agreement and various property claims.

Shipping

Richard has undertaken a wide variety of work in shipping matters, including claims in respect of:

- Off hire
- Demurrage
- Charterparty construction
- Bills of lading
- Seaworthiness
- Cargo damage
- Underperformance
- Deviation
- Detention
- Safe ports/berths
- Arrest
- Redelivery
- Shipbuilding

Recent examples of work:

- *MV Pacific Pearl Co. Limited v (1) NYK Orpheus Corp (2) Quick Ship Holding S.A.* [2022] EWHC 2828: trial on liability following the collision between m.v. Panamax Alexander and m.v. NYK Orpheus in the Suez Canal (led by Timothy Hill KC). The judgment refers to "*Mr Greenberg's... most effective cross-examination*" of the Defendants' marine engineering expert (para 245).
- Acting for the First Defendant in complex claims, including Part 20 proceedings, concerning obligations under bills of lading and Thai customs/import requirements (led by David Lewis KC).
- Acting for charterers in respect of various claims against owners, including off hire, failure to maintain Class/Rightship approval, failure to ensure the Vessel had the required certificates, and breach of the employment clause.
- Acting for charterers in a dispute concerning the implied indemnity under a time charter (led by Michael Ashcroft KC).
- Ad hoc arbitration (2021): acted for the respondent charterers in an application under section 41(3) of the Arbitration Act 1996 to dismiss the proceedings for want of prosecution.
- Acting for the buyers in four shipbuilding disputes concerning the builder's failure to provide refund guarantees, and whether the shipbuilding contracts were tainted by illegality (led by Christopher Hancock KC).
- LMAA Arbitration 2019: acted for the Claimant in respect of complex claims totalling in excess of US\$12 million arising out of alleged breaches of a charterparty and related contracts, and negligent misstatement, against one of the world's largest shipping companies, and its chairman personally, in connection with a new ferry service (in a counsel team led by Duncan Matthews KC).
- LMAA Arbitration 2018: acted for owners in a claim concerning apportionment under the Inter-Club Agreement.
- LMAA Arbitration 2017: acted for the charterers of a vessel in a dispute concerning Owners' breach of an oil major eligibility clause (led by Christopher Hancock KC).

- *Magellan Spirit ApS v Vitol SA* [2016] EWHC 454 (Comm): acted for the owners of a LNG vessel in an application for an anti-suit injunction in respect of a US\$15 million cargo claim brought in Nigeria (led by Timothy Hill KC).
- LMAA Arbitration 2015: acted for the charterers of a vessel in a US\$60 million arbitration dispute with the owners concerning whether the vessel that was tendered for delivery was properly the subject matter of the charterparty and whether charterers were entitled to terminate the charterparty (in a counsel team led by Michael Ashcroft KC).
- Acted (as sole counsel) for the carrier defendants in a claim arising out of the theft of cargo at a port, which raised issues concerning the carrier's obligations under a storage contract, bills of lading and in bailment.
- Acted for the disponent owners in a claim against voyage charterers for wrongful detention of the vessel.

In May-June 2015 Richard spent six weeks working in-house with a Norwegian Defence Club. He advised on claims arising under charterparties and bills of lading and drafted a number of submissions for various London arbitrations.

Commodities

Richard is regularly instructed in cases concerning the international sale of goods. Recent examples of work:

- LCIA Arbitration: acting for a steel trader in a dispute with a bank arising out of a supplier's failure to supply steel (led by Philip Edey KC).
- Arbitration concerning a failure to ship a cargo of Russian chickpeas under Gafta contract No. 88.
- Arbitration concerning the proper construction of the "Appropriation" clause in Gafta contract No. 48.
- Arbitration concerning the proper construction of the "Nomination of Vessel" clause in Gafta contract No. 49.
- Advice concerning the conformity of documents under a letter of credit.
- Arbitration concerning the construction of a clause pursuant to which a third party had been nominated to make payment to the sellers under a CIF contract.
- Arbitration concerning the construction of the buyer's payment obligations under a CFR contract for the sale of rice.
- Advice concerning short shipment under a CFR contract for the sale of wheat.
- SIAC Arbitration 2016: acting (as sole counsel) for the buyers in a three-day commodities arbitration in Singapore arising out of a ban on the import of steel by the Indonesian government.

Recommendations

Richard is responsive, approachable, all over the detail and grasps complexity quickly. He does not shy away from putting forward a challenging case and is creative and flexible in his approach [Chambers UK Bar 2026](#)

On his feet he was superb: unflappable, erudite, and always with an answer to a difficult question. [Chambers UK Bar 2026](#)

Richard is a very able and diligent junior. His drafting is exemplary and attention to detail is second to none. Very resilient and a great support in challenging cases. [The Legal 500 UK Bar 2026](#)

Richard is a real pleasure to work with. He is responsive, approachable, all over the detail and grasps complexity quickly. He does not shy away from putting forward a challenging case and is creative and flexible in his approach [The Legal 500 UK Bar 2026](#)

Richard demonstrates incredible commitment and unwavering professionalism, as well as outstanding technical ability. A pleasure to work with. [The Legal 500 UK Bar 2025](#)

Richard is a stellar junior who knows fraud litigation inside out. He is a formidable advocate who is unafraid of taking on silks. [The Legal 500 UK Bar 2025](#)

His advocacy skills belie his year of call, and his cross-examination technique is better than many silks. [The Legal 500 UK Bar 2025](#)

Richard is fantastically bright. He gets across his brief with impressive speed and is an excellent strategist [The Legal 500 UK Bar 2024](#)

Richard is an excellent advocate who delivers clear-headed and crisp advocacy. [The Legal 500 UK Bar 2024](#)

Clear-headed, writes well and a crisp advocate. [The Legal 500 UK Bar 2024](#)

Strengths include excellent attention to detail and an ability to give a well informed and practical view [The Legal 500 UK Bar 2023](#)

Very responsive, attentive and is quickly able to digest issues and identify a path forward which is both legally and commercially sound. The advice given is also practical and Richard has helped us to navigate a number of difficult procedural issues in addition to legal issues. [The Legal 500 UK Bar 2022](#)